

REMARKS

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's thorough consideration provided the present application. Claims 1-12 are now present in the application. The specification and claims 1, 9, 10 and 12 have been amended. Claims 1, 2, 11 and 12 are independent. Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Interview With The Examiner

A telephone interview was conducted with the Examiner in charge of the above-identified application on May 25, 2011. Applicant greatly appreciates the courtesy shown by the Examiner during the interview.

During the interview with the Examiner, Applicant's representative presented proposed amendments to the specification and claims 9 and 10 to address the objections to the specification and claims. The Examiner indicated that if the specification and claims 9 and 10 are amended as proposed during the interview, the objections to the specification and claims will be obviated and/or rendered moot.

In this Reply, the specification and claims 9 and 10 have been amended as proposed during the interview for the Examiner's consideration.

Allowable Subject Matter

The Examiner has indicated that claims 1-8, 11 and 12 stand allowed, and claims 9 and 10 would be allowable if appropriate correction is made to address the claim objection. Applicants greatly appreciate the indication of allowable subject matter by the Examiner.

In this Reply, claims 9 and 10 have been amended to address the claim objection, as discussed hereinbelow. Therefore, it is believed that claims 9 and 10 are also in condition for allowance.

Specification Objections

The specification stands objected to due to the presence of minor informalities. In view of the foregoing amendments, in which the subject matter of claims 1, 2, 11 and 12 has been fully reflected, it is respectfully submitted that this objection has been addressed. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that this objection has been obviated and/or rendered moot. Reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection are respectfully requested.

Claim Objections

Claims 9 and 10 stand objected to due to the presence of minor informalities. In view of the foregoing amendments, it is respectfully submitted that this objection has been addressed. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that this objection has been obviated and/or rendered moot. Reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection are respectfully requested.

In addition, claims 1 and 12 have been amended to correct some typographical errors. It is believed that no new issue is raised. Entry of the amendments to claims 1 and 12 is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the Office Action, and that as such, the Examiner is respectfully requested to send the application to Issue.

In the event there are any matters remaining in this application, the Examiner is invited to contact Cheng-Kang (Greg) Hsu, Registration No. 61,007 at (703) 205-8000 in the Washington, D.C. area.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §§1.16 or 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: June 3, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Paul C. Lewis

Registration No.: 43,368

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

